WOODWORK SG PAPER 2

GENERAL

For the most part, candidates presented neat drawings.
The results of a large number of candidates were very disappointing and there is much room for improvement.
Once again, many candidates failed to read the instructions and questions carefully - this was clearly reflected in their answers.
Some candidates did not make use of the proper drawing instruments during the examination.

QUESTION 1

This question was poorly answered by a number of candidates.
As many candidates could not draw the right view cross-section, they also lost marks for the true shape of the cross-section on cutting plane AA.
Many candidates did not know how to project perpendicular to the cutting plane.
At a few centres, candidates completely ignored this question.

QUESTION 2

As the top view was answered very poorly, many candidates were unable to draw the auxiliary view.
Vertical heights had to be obtained from the front view.
(Some centres were completely unfamiliar with auxiliary views.)

QUESTION 3

Almost all the candidates placed the isometric view correctly.
Candidates must, however, be encouraged to use the basic principles for isometric drawings at all times.
Many candidates' measurements for the isometric view were incorrect as they did not draw the front view.
The drawing of an isometric curve still appeared to be a problem for some candidates.

QUESTION 4

Most candidates did well in this question.
At those centres where they fared badly, the basic principles for drawing two-point perspectives had obviously been neglected.

QUESTION 5

In general this question was answered reasonably well.
Some candidates, however, forfeited valuable marks because they could not project from the given views.

HOUTWERK SG VRAESTEL 2

ALGEMEEN

Oor die algemeen is netjiese tekenwerk deur kandidate voorgelê.
Die uitslae van 'n groot aantal kandidate was egter teleurstellend en daar is baie ruimte vir verbetering.
Baie kandidate het weereens versuim om die instruksies en vrae sorgvuldig deur te lees - dit is duidelik in hulle antwoorde weerspieël.
Enkele kandidate het nie tydens die eksamen gebruik gemaak van die regte tekengereedskap nie.

VRAAG 1

Talle kandidate het hierdie vraag swak beantwoord.
Omdat baie kandidate nie die deursnee-regteraansig kon teken nie, het hulle ook punte by die ware vorm van die deursnee op snyvlak AA verbeur.
Kandidate weet nie hoe om loodreg met die snylyn te projekteer nie.
By enkele sentrums het kandidate hierdie vraag heeltemal geignoreer.

VRAAG 2

Omdat die boaansig in so swak beantwoord is, kon baie kandidate ook nie die hulpaansig teken nie.
Loodregte hoogtes moes vanaf die vooraansig verkry word.
(Enkele sentrums het geen benul van hulpaansigte gehad nie.)

VRAAG 3

Die plasing van die isometriese aansig is deur feitlik alle kandidate korrek gedoen.
Kandidate moet egter aangemoedig word om ten alle tye die basiese beginsels vir isometriese tekeninge toe te pas.
Baie kandidate se mates vir die isometriese aansig was verkeerd omdat hulle nie die vooraansig geteken het nie. Die tekening van ‘n isometriese kurwe was nog steeds vir sommige kandidate ‘n probleem.

VRAAG 4

Die meeste kandidate het in hierdie vraag goe gevaar.
By sentrums waar hulle swak gevaar het, is daar duidelik nie genoeg aandag gegee aan die basiese beginsels vir die teken van 'n tweepunt-perspektief nie.

VRAAG 5

Oor die algemeen is die vraag redelik goed beantwoord.
Sommige kandidate het egter waardevolle punte verbeur omdat hulle nie korrek vanaf die gegewe aansigte kon projekteer nie.