EKONOMIE SG

Afdeling A is weereens goed beantwoord. Enkele kandidate het nie die antwoordblad gebruik nie en andere het weer sekere vrae nie beantwoord nie. Afdeling B is goed deur sommige kandidate en swak deur andere beantwoord. Baie kandidate beantwoord nog steeds meer as die verlangde aantal vrae.

'n Nuwe tendens onder sekere kandidate was dat hulle wel ses vrae beantwoord het, maar dan die vrae van 1 tot 6 hernommer het. Die vrae oor die sakesiklus is swak beantwoord en die tekortkominge van nasionale inkomesyfers is verwar met die probleme by die bepaling van nasionale inkomesyfers. Die kandidate het nie die voorwaardes vir 'n volmaakte mark goed beantwoord nie en baie het nie volsinne gebruik nie.

Kandidate kon nie uitvoerbevordering as 'n ontwikkelingstrategie verduidelik nie. Baie kandidate het nie die vraag oor die voorkoming van die nadele verbonde aan oorspesialisasie korrek beantwoord nie; vraestel identifiseer of verduidelik waarom dit 'n regverdige stelsel is nie. Ook kon kandidate nie die skaal korrek lees nie.

Die vereistes vir 'n goeie belastingstelsel is genoem, maar nie korrek verduidelik nie. Die vraag oor die geografiese ontwikkeling van markte is swak beantwoord. Die keusemodules is nie deur baie kandidate beantwoord nie, en diegene wat dit wel beantwoord het, het meer as die verlangde opsies beantwoord. Oor die algemeen kon kandidate die vraestel hanteer.

ECONOMICS SG .

Candidates answered Section A very well, although some of them did not use the answer sheet and others left out certain questions.

Section B was answered well by some and poorly by others.

Many candidates answered more than the required number of questions. An unwelcome tendency was that candidates answered six questions and renumbered them 1 to 6.

The questions on the business cycle were answered poorly and candidates confused the shortcomings of national income figures with the problems in calculating national income figures.

The question on the conditions for a perfect market was answered poorly and candidates did not use full sentences. Export promotion as a development strategy was not explained well.

In presenting arguments in favour of a policy of protection, candidates discussed Infant industries and dumping, instead of the prevention of the disadvantages inherent in over-Specialization. Candidates could not identify the scale of taxation or explain why the system is fair. Many candidates could not read the scale of taxation. The requirements for a good system of taxation were named but not explained correctly.

The question on the geographical development of the market was answered poorly. The choice modules were not answered by many candidates and those who answered this question wrote on more than the required number of options.