

## **LANDBOUWETENSKAP SG**

Sommige kandidate se werk was slordig en is nie korrek genommer nie – die antwoord op een vraag het dikwels oorgegaan na die volgende een. Onderwysers moet kandidate leer om hulle antwoorde netjies en op geordende wyse aan te bied.

Vraag 2.6 het getoon dat kandidate geen begrip gehad het van die chemie van Grondkunde nie.

Vraag 2.4 en die vrae oor voeding en die teling van diere is redelik goed beantwoord.

Al die ander vrae is swak beantwoord.

---

## **AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE SG**

Some of the candidates' work was untidily presented and incorrectly numbered – the answer to one question often flowed into the next. Teachers should show candidates how to present their answers in a neat and orderly way.

The answers to Question 2.6 showed that the candidates had no understanding of the chemistry of Soil Science.

Questions on nutrition and breeding of animals were answered reasonably well.

All the other questions were poorly answered except question 2.4.