

The question paper was fair and made provision for the poor, average and good candidate. The space in which to answer some of the questions was too small (Questions 2 & 4)

Question 1 (Analytical)

- Candidates' knowledge of the SANS 0143 was very poor.
- Candidates answered Question 17 poorly.
- In Questions 18 & 19 the calculations were poorly done.
- The abbreviation "WC" was not understood by the candidates.
- Candidates did not know how to identify the orientation in relation to the north point.

Question 2 (Interpenetration and Development)

- This question was very poorly answered.
- Candidates must be taught to draw to a certain scale.
- The principle that true lengths must be used with the development must be properly taught.
- Candidates could not determine the intersecting points.

Question 3 (Two-point Perspective)

- The question was answered fairly well.
- Labelling of the vanishing points was poorly done.
- Dividing the circle into equal part was a problem.
- Candidates must be taught to place the perspective drawing on the ground line.
- Candidates lost marks if they erased fundamental projection lines (LVP, RVP, etc.).

Question 4 (Civil – Section and Plan)

Section:

- Most candidates answered this part of the question very well.
- Candidates did not label the NGL and DPC on both sides of the section drawing.
- The electrical legend was wrongly interpreted and badly drawn.
- The roof-detail question was answered very poorly.

Plan:

- The roll-up door created problems for the candidates.
- Fixtures (basin, toilet and shower) must be placed in the positions indicated on the question paper.
- Drawing to scale created problems for some candidates.