

Question 1:

This was answered quite satisfactorily, but with a few candidates scoring full marks in this question.

Question 2:

Very badly answered.

Question 3:

Quite satisfactorily answered. Candidates must ensure they study the relevant theory thoroughly.

Question 4:

The case study in Question 4 on a liquidation auction proved popular and candidates scored high marks in the first section of that question (4.1 – 4.10)

Question 5:

Very poorly answered.

Question 6:

Very badly answered.

Question 7:

Questions 7.5 (compensation for tenant under various circumstances) and 7.7 (various types of crossings on a cheque and how they are to be handled): These and other similar questions just need regular practice.

Question 8:

Students must ensure they study the relevant theory thoroughly.

Question 9:

Students must ensure they study the relevant theory thoroughly.

GENERAL:

Candidates are not instructed well enough or properly prepared on how to approach the question paper i.e. the reading thereof, understanding the examiner's instructions, and the context within which each question is being asked.

Candidates' presentation of answers was not satisfactory, e.g. illegible writing, poor layout (not leaving any spaces between sub-sections and not starting a new question on a new page), formulation of answers where comprehension is tested. All candidates are urged to pay attention to these aspects.

Too many candidates ignored examination instruction number 6 of the question paper (to answer only six of the nine questions that were set). The result: They attempted ALL the questions and forget to indicate those questions they did not want marked.

Once again, as in the 2005 examination, the overall quality of the work presented was unsatisfactory and certainly not what is expected of individuals who are generally looked upon as ready for the workplace.