

1. GENERAL COMMENTS

- 1.1 This national paper was of a very high standard and required candidates to have excellent reading skills. Most candidates did not have a real problem with that and must be congratulated in the way they answered the paper.
- 1.2 Candidates' essay writing skills are improving every year. Hardly any candidate used headings and most of them were able to use appropriate introductions and conclusions.
- 1.3 Many candidates did well in the source based questions because they were able to apply a variety and appropriate skills.

2. ESSAY QUESTIONS

- 2.1 Candidates who were awarded good marks for their essays were able to
- answer the question correctly (question driven);
 - select the relevant content;
 - apply historical analysis;
 - structure the answer properly.
- 2.2 Unfortunately many candidates still wrote only topic driven answers and had no real line of argument. Educators should teach candidates to analyse questions, especially on HG level.
- 2.3 Only a few centres answered Questions 3A or 3B (South Africa 1976 – 1994). Those who did, did very well and must be congratulated. Most centres answered Question 1 and 2 quite well.

3. SOURCE-BASED QUESTIONS

- 3.1 Candidates who did well were able to
- Extract evidence from sources
 - Interpret evidence
 - Analyse, organise and communicate evidence
 - Evaluate evidence.
- 3.2 Many candidates found it difficult to use evidence from sources to write a paragraph (Questions 4.1.7, 5.1.6 and 6.1.8).
- 3.3 In general Question 6 was poorly answered.